

March 29, 2006

## Faculty Senate meeting agenda: 03/29/2006

Faculty Senate, Northeastern University

---

### Recommended Citation

Faculty Senate, Northeastern University, "Faculty Senate meeting agenda: 03/29/2006" (2006). *Faculty Senate Meeting Agendas*. Paper 76. <http://hdl.handle.net/2047/d10004117>

This work is available open access, hosted by Northeastern University.

TO: All Faculty  
FROM: Faculty Senate Agenda Committee  
SUBJECT: Faculty Senate Meeting

---

I. The next meeting of the 2005-06 Faculty Senate will be:

<p>Date: <b>Wednesday, 29 March</b> Time: <b>11:45 AM to 1:25 PM</b> Place: <b>450 DG</b></p>
---

II. Agenda

- A. Approval of minutes (2/22/06 and 3/01/06)
- B. SAC Report (Professor Glod)
- C. Provost's Report (Provost Abdelal)
- D. Questions & discussion (10 minutes)
- E. 2005-06 *Ad Hoc* Committee for Information Technology Policy Report (Professor Anthony De Ritis)

Resolution #1.

WHEREAS there are many requests, needs, and expectations with respect to making our registration, advising and curriculum oversight systems more efficient and effective,

BE IT RESOLVED That the Faculty Senate support the recommendation of the Information Technology Policy Committee for the Registrar to make available student photos as a component of all course rosters.

Resolution #2.

BE IT RESOLVED That the Faculty Senate support the recommendation of the Information Technology Policy Committee for the Registrar to make available electronic student rosters for graduate courses.

Resolution #3.

BE IT RESOLVED That the Faculty Senate support the recommendation of the Information Technology Policy Committee, in conjunction with the Office of the Registrar, for replacement of the outdated Registrar computer system with a state-of-the-art student information system that meets the needs and demands of Northeastern University students and faculty, including electronic checking of prerequisites, electronic grade submission and continuously updated electronic rosters.

F. 2005-06 Committee for Faculty Development Report (Professor Peterfreund)

**BE IT RESOLVED That the Faculty Senate approve the following revision of the May 23, 1994 resolution:**

“... every unit shall carry out adequate, good faith teaching evaluations of **all tenure/tenure track, term, and special appointment faculty whose appointments include teaching** as part of the annual merit review, as part of the tenure evaluation process, and/or **as part of** the promotion evaluation process as applicable,. **The teaching evaluation results will be compiled by CEUT and sent in a timely manner to each instructor evaluated. A second copy of these results will be sent to the instructor’s unit head, who will then see to it that those results are incorporated into the merit review process according to the Unit’s procedures.** For probationary faculty, adequate good-faith evaluation procedures will include annual evaluation by two or more means, one of which must include student teaching evaluations (the SGA evaluations). The other means could include:

- peer classroom visits;
- peer evaluations of class materials
- teaching portfolios
- evaluations by earlier graduates of the program
- other means appropriate to the discipline.

For tenured faculty, adequate good-faith teaching evaluations will include annual student teaching evaluations and, at least once every 3 to 5 years, evaluations by one or more additional means.

Written copies of the unit’s procedures will be approved by the appropriate Dean and the Provost’s office, and copies will be kept on file in the Provost’s office.

*(revision of Resolution #2 on Teacher Course Evaluations, passed by the Senate on May 23, 1994)*